

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,475	10/01/2003	Timothy John Havens	GEMS 0220 PA	2474
27256 7	10/21/2004		EXAMINER	
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.			VARGAS, DIXOMARA	
28333 TELEG SUITE 250	КАРН К.Д.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			2859	
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/21/2007	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/605,475	HAVENS, TIMOTHY JOHN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dixomara Vargas	2859			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🛛 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/01/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/605,475

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification fails to properly disclose the radio frequency shield is a superconductor and a first and second housing being integrally formed as a single housing.

Drawings

3. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 2-4, 15 and 16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 2-4 are not further limiting because the

Art Unit: 2859

said claims states that the shield is coupled to the housing encasing the support electronics, which is already stated in the independent claim 1. Claims 15 and 16 are not further limiting because said claims states that the shield is coupled to the housing encasing the support electronics, which is already stated in independent claim 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 8-17 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Srinivasan et al. (US 5,543,711 A).

With respect to claims 1, 12 and 13, Srinivasan discloses an integrated electronic system housing (Figure 1, housings #16, #18 and #20) and magnet structure for an imaging system comprising (Figure 1, magnets #10): a housing coupled to a magnet structure, said housing containing imaging system structure for support electronics (Figure 1, housings #16, #18 and #20); a radio frequency shield coupled to said housing and preventing radio frequency interference of at least one receiver coil from said imaging system support electronics (Figure 1, RF shield #38 and RF coils #36, #40, #42, #44).

- 7. With respect to claim 2, Srinivasan discloses the shield (#38) is coupled within said housing (#20).
- 8. With respect to claims 3 and 15, Srinivasan discloses said imaging system support electronics is encased in said radio frequency shield (Figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,475 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

9. With respect to claims 4 and 16, Srinivasan discloses wherein said radio frequency shield is coupled within said housing and encases said imaging system support electronics (Figure 1).

- 10. With respect to claim 5, Srinivasan discloses wherein said imaging system support electronics comprises at least one of a radio frequency amplifier, a gradient amplifier, a timing device, an oscillator, a radio frequency transmitter, a gradient coil controller and a sequence controller (Column 4, lines 33-40).
- 11. With respect to claims 6 and 17, Srinivasan discloses wherein the radio frequency shield comprises at least one layer (Figure 1).
- 12. With respect to claims 8-11 and 19-20, Srinivasan discloses the radio frequency shield is metallic, conductive mesh or a superconductor having at least one void (Column 4, lines 16-18).
- 13. With respect to claim 14, Srinivasan discloses a second housing (Figure 1, #16) containing said magnet structure (#10), wherein said first housing and said second housing are integrally formed as a single housing (Figure 1, housings #16, #18 and #20).
- 14. With respect to claim 22, see rejections of claims 1 and 14 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srinivasan et al. (US 5,543,711 A) in view of Ladebeck (US 5,994,903 A).

With respect to claims 7 and 18, Srinivasan discloses the claimed invention as stated above in paragraph 6 except for the at least one layer comprising: a first layer; and a second layer coupled to the first layer; said first layer and said second layer having capacitance therebetween. However, Ladebeck discloses at least one layer comprising: a first layer; and a second layer coupled to the first layer; said first layer and said second layer having capacitance therebetween (Figure 2, capacitors #13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Ladebeck's shielding structure including capacitors with Srinivasan housing for the purpose of short the shield for compensating the magnetic field as shown by Ladebeck (Column 3, lines 50-55).

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional prior art cited in PTO-892 discloses MR systems with RF shielding.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dixomara Vargas

Art Unit 2859 October 17, 2004 Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

CUDICTODUED W FILLTO

CHRISTOPHER W. FULTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6